Surgery on the absolutely continuous spectrum

W. N. Polyzou

Brady Martin

The University of Iowa

Research supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Grant #DE-SC0016457

Background:

- The many- body scattering problem is numerically intractable. In some experiments there is a high probability that most of the final states will be in a small number of dominant channels.
- To simplify the numerical problem is it desirable to have a first approximation where all of the scattered particles are in one of the dominant scattering channels.
- Scattering solutions have continuous energy eigenvalues. Removing open unimportant channels involves perturbing the absolutely continuous spectrum.
- Unlike the point spectrum (bound states) the absolutely continuous spectrum can be destroyed by arbitrarily small perturbations (Weyl Von-Neumann).

Remarks:

Consider an integral equation of the form

$$X(z) = D(z) + K(z)X(z) K(z) = F(z) + \Delta(z) z = E + i\epsilon$$

$$X(z) = (I - F(z))^{-1} (D(z) + \Delta(z)X(z)) =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((I - F(z))^{-1} \Delta(z))^{n} (I - F(z))^{-1} D(z)$$

- For compact K(z) we can choose F(z) a finite dimensional matrix and $\Delta(z)$ small. Then $(I F(z))^{-1}$ can only change the discrete spectrum. The continuous spectrum is in D(z) (analytic Fredholm theorem).
- The problem is how to remove contributions of the unwanted channels from the continuous spectrum to D(z).

ullet Scattering channels lpha .

$$|\Psi_{\alpha}^{(\pm)}\rangle = s - lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \int \sum_{i,j,\dots,n} e^{iHt} e^{-iH_a t} \otimes_i |(E_i, j_i)\mathbf{p}_i, \mu_i\rangle \phi_i(\mathbf{p}_i, \mu_i) d\mathbf{p}_i$$

where

$$H_a = \sum_{i=1}^{n_a} H_{a_i} \qquad H_{a_i} | (E_i, j_i) \mathbf{p}_i, \mu_i \rangle = | (E_i, j_i) \mathbf{p}_i, \mu_i \rangle E_i$$

Notation

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\alpha}|\phi_{\alpha0}\rangle &:= \sum_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{n_a}}\int \otimes |(\textit{E}_i,\textit{j}_i)\textbf{p}_i,\nu_i\rangle d\textbf{p}_i\phi_i(\textbf{p}_i,\nu_i)d\textbf{p}_i. \\ \phi_{0\alpha}(\textbf{p}_1,\nu_1,\cdots\textbf{p}_{n_1},\nu_{n_a}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_a}\phi_j(\textbf{p}_j,\nu_j). \\ |\Psi_{\alpha}^{(\pm)}\rangle &= \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{iHt}e^{-iH_at}\Phi_{\alpha}|\phi_{0\alpha}\rangle = \Omega(a)^{(\pm)}\Phi_{\alpha}|\phi_{0\alpha}\rangle. \end{split}$$

- Assumptions
 - Asymptotic completeness: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_B \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(-)} = \mathcal{H}_B \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(+)}$ (=unitarity of the scattering matrix)
 - Short range interactions in H (Cook's condition sufficient):

$$\pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \| \sum_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_N} \underbrace{(H-H_a)}_{H^a} e^{-iH_at} \otimes_i |(E_i,j_i)\mathbf{p}_i,\mu_i\rangle \phi_i(\mathbf{p}_i,\mu_i) d\mathbf{p}_i \| < \infty$$

- Connected products of interactions, resolvents and bound state projection operators are compact on some Banach space (typical property of Faddeev-Yakubovskii formulations of scattering).
- A = set of all scattering channels including the bound state channels.

Scattering operator

$$S = I\delta_{\beta\alpha} - 2\pi i\delta(E_{\beta} - E_{\alpha})T^{\beta\alpha}$$

Transition operator

$$T^{\beta\alpha} = \Phi^{\dagger}_{\beta} H^b \Omega(a)^{(-)} \Phi_{\alpha}.$$

Differential cross section

$$\begin{split} d\sigma &= \frac{\left(2\pi\right)^4}{\left|s\mathbf{v}_r\right|} |\langle \beta, \mathbf{p}_1', \mu_1', \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{n_b}', \mu_{n_b}' \| T^{\beta\alpha} \| \mathbf{p}_1, \mu_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mu_2 \rangle|^2 \times \\ &\delta(\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_b} \mathcal{E}_j') \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n_b} \mathbf{p}_j') \prod_{i=1}^{n_b} d\mathbf{p}_1' \end{split}$$

- Cluster combinatorics
 - P is the set of partitions of an N particle system into non-empty disjoint subsystems.
 - n_a is the number of equivalence classes of a
 - a_i is the set of particles in the ith equivalence class of a
 - n_{a_i} is the number of particles in the i^{th} equivalence class of a
 - $i \sim_a j$ means that particles i and j are in the same equivalence class of a
 - 0 := {(1)(2)···(N)} is the unique N cluster partition (each particle in a different class)
 - $1 := \{(1 \cdots N)\}$ is the unique 1 cluster partition (all particles in the same class).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_a} n_{a_i} = N$$

- (Birkhoff) Lattice structure
- partial ordering on partitions a

$$a \subseteq b$$
 $i \sim_a j \rightarrow i \sim_b j$

- Greatest lower bound and least upper bound with respect to ⊆:
 - $a \cup b$: $a \subseteq a \cup b$, $b \subseteq a \cup b$, and if $a \subseteq c$, $b \subseteq c$ then $a \cup b \subseteq c$ $a \cap b$: $a \cap b \subseteq a$, $a \cap b \subseteq b$, and if $c \subseteq a$, $c \subseteq b$, then $a \cap b \subseteq c$
- Zeta function on incidence algebra (⊆):

$$\Delta_{a\supseteq b} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & a \supseteq b \\ 0 & a \not\supseteq b \end{array} \right.$$

• Möbius function on incidence algebra (⊆):

$$\Delta_{a\supseteq b}^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (-)^{n_a} \prod_{i=1}^{n_a} (-)^{n_{b_i}} (n_{b_i} - 1)! & a \supseteq b \\ 0 & a \not\supseteq b \end{array} \right.$$

• Classification of operators (a=partition)

$$T_a(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_{n_a}):=e^{-i\sum_{i=1}^{n_a}\mathbf{x}_i\cdot\mathbf{P}_{a_i}}.\qquad \mathbf{P}_{a_i}=\sum_{i\in a_i}\mathbf{p}_i$$

• Separates clusters of partition a

$$O = O_a + O^a$$

$$[O_a, T_a(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_a})] = 0 \qquad \lim_{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i| \to \infty} \|O^a T_a(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n_a})|\psi\rangle\|. = 0.$$

• $O_a = T_a$ -invariant part of O

$$O_a = \lim_{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i| o \infty} T_a(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n_a}) O T_a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n_a})$$

For $a\subseteq b$ $T_b(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_{n_b})$ is a subgroup of $T_a(\mathbf{x}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{x}_{n_a})$. It follows that

$$O_a = T_b(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b}) O_a T_b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b})$$

For $a \not\subseteq b$ then O_a has the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} O_a &= (O_a)_b + (O_a)^b = O_{a \cap b} + O_a^b \\ \lim_{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j| \to \infty} \|T_b(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b}) O_a^b T_b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b}) |\psi\rangle\| = 0 \\ O_{a \cap b} &= \lim_{|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_i| \to \infty} T_b(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b}) O_a T_b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n_b}) \end{aligned}$$

• Definition: $[O]_a$ a-connected part of O.

$$[T_a, [O]_a] = 0$$
 $([O]_a)_b = 0$ for $a \not\subset b$.

It follows that

$$O_{a} = \sum_{a \subset b} [O]_{b} = \sum_{b} \Delta_{a \subset b} [O]_{b} \qquad [O]_{a} = \sum_{b} \Delta_{a \subset b}^{-1} O_{b}$$

$$[O]_{1} = \sum_{b} \Delta_{1 \subset b}^{-1} O_{b} = \Delta_{1 \subset 1}^{-1} O_{1} + \sum_{b \neq 1} \Delta_{1 \subset b}^{-1} O_{b} = O + \sum_{b \neq 1} \Delta_{1 \subset b}^{-1} O_{b}.$$

$$O = -\sum_{a \neq 1} \Delta_{1 \subset b}^{-1} O_{a} + [O]_{1}$$

$$C_{a} := -\Delta_{1 \subset a}^{-1} = (-)^{n_{a}} (n_{a} - 1)!$$

$$O = \sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a} O_{a} + [O]_{1}$$

$$(AB)_{a} = A_{a}B_{a}$$
 $AB - [AB]_{1} = \sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a}A_{a}B_{a} = (\sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a}A_{a} + [A]_{1})B - [AB]_{1} = (\sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a}A_{a} + [A]_{1})(B_{a} + B^{a}) - [AB]_{1} = \sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a}A_{a}B_{a} + \sum_{a \neq 1} C_{a}A_{a}B^{a} + [A]_{1}B - [AB]_{1}.$
 $\downarrow \downarrow$

is connected!

 $\sum_{a\neq 1} \mathcal{C}_a A_a B^a = [AB]_1 - [A]_1 B$

Spectral decomposition of H

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} |\psi_{\alpha}^{(-)}\rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{(-)}| H = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}} [P_{\alpha}^{(-)}H]_{a}. \\ P_{\alpha}^{(-)} &= \Omega^{(-)}(a) \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} (\Omega^{(-)}(a))^{\dagger} \\ (\Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger})_{b} &= ([\Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}]_{a})_{b} = 0 \qquad a \not\subseteq b \end{split}$$

Chain rule for wave operators (Kato)

$$\Omega^{(-)}(a)\Phi_{\alpha} = \lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{iHt} e^{-iH_at} \Phi_{\alpha} =$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{iHt} e^{-iH_bt} e^{iH_bt} e^{-iH_at} \Phi_{\alpha} = \lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{iHt} e^{-iH_bt} (\Omega^{(-)}(a))_b \Phi_{\alpha} =$$

$$\Omega^{(-)}(b) (\Omega^{(-)}(a))_b \Phi_{\alpha}.$$

for $a \subseteq b$

$$\Omega^{(-)}(a)\Phi_{\alpha}=\Omega^{(-)}(b)(\Omega^{(-)}(a))_{b}\Phi_{\alpha}=\Omega_{b}^{-}(a)\Phi_{\alpha}+(\Omega^{-}(a)\Phi_{\alpha})^{b}.$$

This means

$$P_{\alpha}^{(-)}H = \sum_{b \neq 1} C_b(P_{\alpha}^{(-)})_b H_b + [P_{\alpha}^{(-)}H]_1$$

Using this in the spectral expansion of H

$$\begin{split} H &= \sum_{a \neq 1} \mathcal{C}_a H_a + [H]_1 = (\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} (\sum_{b \neq 1} \mathcal{C}_b (P_{\alpha}^{(-)})_b H_b + [P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H]_1) \\ [H]_1 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} [P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H]_1 \end{split}$$

Note $(P_{\alpha}^{-})_{b}=(\Omega(a)_{b}^{(-)}\Phi_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\Omega(a)_{b}^{(-)})^{\dagger}$ uses only proper subsystem spectral projections

Channel decomposition $A = A_I \cup A'$

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'} P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} (\sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{-})_{b} H_{b} + [P_{\alpha}^{-} H]_{1}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'} (\sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{-})_{b} H_{b} + [P_{\alpha}^{-} H]_{1})$$

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} \sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{-})_{b} H_{b} + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'} (\sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{(-)})_{b} H_{b} + [H]_{1}.$$

$$H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} \sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{(-)})_{b} H_{b}$$

$$H_{\mathcal{A}'} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'} \sum_{b \neq 1} C_{b}(P_{\alpha}^{(-)})_{b} H_{b} + [H]_{1}$$

$$H_{\mathcal{A}_I} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_I} P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_I} [P_{\alpha}^{(-)} H]_1$$

$$[P_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}H]_1:=\sum_{lpha\in\mathcal{A}_I}[P_lpha^{(-)}H]_1=W_I.$$
 connected

$$P_{\alpha}^{(-)}H=H_{\mathcal{A}_I}+W$$

$$(z - H_{\mathcal{A}_I})^{-1} = (z - P_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}H)^{-1} - (z - P_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}H)^{-1}W_I(z - H_{\mathcal{A}_I})^{-1}.$$

• Differs from the resolvent of the exact projected Hamiltonian by a connected operator.

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{\alpha}^{(-)}\rangle &= \lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{iP_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}Ht} e^{-i(E_{\mathfrak{a}}+i0^+)t} \Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle = \\ &\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{i(H_{\mathcal{A}_I}+W_I)t} e^{-i(E_{\mathfrak{a}}+i0^+)t} \Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle = \\ \lim_{t \to -\infty} e^{i(H_{\mathcal{A}_I}+W_I)t} e^{-i(H_{\mathcal{A}_I}t} e^{iH_{\mathcal{A}_I}t} e^{-i(E_{\mathfrak{a}}+i0^+)t} \Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle = \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}(a)\Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle + \frac{1}{E_{\mathfrak{a}}-P_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}H+i\epsilon} W_I \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}(a)\Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle \\ |\Psi_{\alpha}^{(-)}\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_I} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{E_{\mathfrak{a}}-H_{\mathcal{A}_I}-W_I+i\epsilon} W_I \Omega_{\mathcal{A}_I}^{(-)}(a)\Phi_{\alpha} |\phi\rangle}_{} \end{split}$$

connected

• Optical theorem

$$\Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} T_{\mathcal{A}}^{bb} \Phi_{\beta} = \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} (H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} + H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} (E_{\beta} - H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}} + i\epsilon)^{-1} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b}) \Phi_{\beta}.$$

Discontinuity across cut

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} (T_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{bb}(E+i\epsilon) - T_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{bb}(E_{\beta}-i\epsilon)) \Phi_{\beta} &= \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \frac{-2i\epsilon}{(H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}-E_{\beta})^{2}+\epsilon^{2}} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Phi_{\beta} \\ &= -2\pi i \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \delta(H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}-E_{\beta}) H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Phi_{\beta}. \\ &-2\pi i \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Omega^{-}(a) \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \delta(E_{\beta}-E_{\alpha}) \Omega^{-}(a)^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger}. \end{split}$$

$$2Im(\Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} T^{bb}(E + i\epsilon)\Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger}) =$$

$$-2\pi \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} \Phi_{\beta}^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Omega^{(-)}(a) \Phi_{\alpha} \delta(E_{\beta} - E_{\alpha}) \Phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \Omega^{(-)}(a)^{\dagger} H_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{b} \Phi_{\beta} =$$

$$-2\pi \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{I}} \int |\langle T_{\mathcal{A}_{I}}^{\beta \alpha} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{\beta} - \sum_{i} E_{\alpha_{i}}) d\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdots d\mathbf{p}_{N}$$

$$RHS = -(2\pi) \frac{v}{(2\pi)^{4}} \sigma_{T}$$

$$\sigma_T = -rac{(2\pi)^3}{\mathsf{v}} 2 \mathit{Im} \Phi_eta^\dagger \, T^{bb} (E + i\epsilon) \Phi_eta^\dagger = -rac{2(2\pi)^3 \mu}{k} rac{-1}{(2\pi)^2 \mu} F_{etaeta} = rac{4\pi}{k} \mathit{Im} F_{etaeta}$$
 $F_{etaeta} := -(2\pi)^2 \mu T_{etaeta} \qquad \sigma_T = rac{4\pi \mu}{k} \mathit{Im} F_{etaeta}$

- $H_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ only supports states that asymptotically look like bound clusters in the chanels \mathcal{A}_I
- ullet The scattering states of $H_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ differ from the exact scattering states when all particle as close together.
- ullet The interactions in $H_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ can in principle be constructed from proper subsystem solutions.
- ullet The interactions in $H_{\mathcal{A}_I}$ can in principle be constructed from proper subsystem solutions.
- Effective interactions appear with all kinds of connectivities.
- ullet The truncated theory satisfies unitarity on the chosen important channels, \mathcal{A}_I . Corrections can be included systematically