

Lecture 15

Degenerate perturbation theory

Normally if $H = H_0 + V$ and

H_0 is easy to solve it will

often be because there are

some simplifying symmetries

If $SH = HS$

and

$$H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$$

then $HS|\psi\rangle = SH|\psi\rangle = E S|\psi\rangle$

which means $S|\psi\rangle$ is also

an eigenstate of H with

the same eigenvalue,

In this case non degenerate

perturbation theory is

not applicable -

Assume that H_0 has N eigenstates with the same eigenvalue. Relabel states for $|\psi_1^0\rangle \dots |\psi_N^0\rangle$ all have eigenvalue E^0 and $E_n^0 \neq E^0$ for all $n > N$.

Without loss of generality we can choose $|\psi_k\rangle$ $1 \leq k \leq N$ satisfying

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{nm} \quad n, m \leq N$$

Let P_N be the orthogonal projection operator on the subspace of the Hilbert space spanned by the N eigenstates with eigenvalue E^0 .

$$P_N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_n^0\rangle \langle \Psi_n^0|$$

note that

$$P_N = P_N^\dagger = P_N^2 \quad P_N H_0 = E^0 P_N$$

Define

$$Q_N = I - P_N$$

It follows that

$$Q_N = Q_N^\dagger = Q_N^2$$

$$Q_N P_N = P_N Q_N = 0$$

$$P_N + Q_N = I$$

$$Q_N = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\Psi_n^0\rangle \langle \Psi_n^0|$$

using these relations

$$H = H_0 + V =$$

$$(P_N + Q_N)(H_0 + V)(P_N + Q_N)$$

$$E^0 P_N + P_N V P_N + Q_N H_0 Q_N +$$

$$Q_N V P_N + P_N V Q_N + Q_N V Q_N$$

note that

$$P_N H_0 Q_N = Q_N H_0 P_N = E^0 P_N Q_N = 0$$

The strategy is to replace H_0 and V by

$$H_0' = P_N H_0 P_N + Q_N H_0 Q_N$$

$$V' = P_N V Q_N + Q_N V P_N + Q_N V Q_N$$

Then from the decomposition on the last page

$$H = H_0 + V = H_0' + V'$$

To use perturbation theory

we need to diagonalize

$$H_0' -$$

The $Q_N H_0 Q_N$ part is already diagonal

$$H_0' |\psi_n\rangle = E_n^0 |\psi_n\rangle \quad n > N$$

for $n \leq N$

$$\langle \Psi_m^0 | H_0 | \Psi_n^0 \rangle = E^0 \delta_{mn} + \langle \Psi_m^0 | V | \Psi_n^0 \rangle$$

This is an $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix, H'_{mn} , that can be diagonalized
eigenvalue:

$$\det(E' \delta_{mn} - H'_{mn}) = 0$$

has N roots $E'_n \quad n=1 \dots N$

$$P_n = \frac{\prod_{e'_m \neq e'_n} (H'_{mm} - E'_m)}{\prod_{e'_m \neq e'_n} (E'_n - E'_m)}$$

project on eigenstate of H' with eigenvalue $E'_n \quad 1 \leq n \leq N$

Since each $|\Psi_n^0\rangle$ is a linear combination of the $|\Psi_n^0\rangle$ for $n \leq N$

$$\langle \psi_n^0 | \psi_m^0 \rangle = 0 \quad n \leq N \quad m > N$$

normally it is enough to stop after H'_0 has been diagonalized. Because it is not diagonal in the original basis, the new eigenstates will not generally have the same eigenvalue. - even when two of them have the same eigenvalue

$$\langle \psi_n^0 | V' | \psi_m^0 \rangle = 0 \quad n, m \leq N$$

because V' has a Q_N on the right or left and

$$Q_N | \psi_n^0 \rangle = 0 \quad n \leq N.$$

so there are never any terms, where one is dividing by $1/(E_n^0 - E_m^0)$

diagonalizing H'_0 is the same problem and diagonalizing V

When the eigenvalues are all the same there are many possible choices of $|\psi_n\rangle$

A lot of work can be saved if they are chosen so

$$V|\psi_n\rangle = \epsilon_n |\psi_n\rangle$$

* The problem is to diagonalize

$P_0 H P_0$. The resulting solution has terms of $N-1$ powers of the potential

Example: Hydrogen atom with

$$\text{spin } |\Psi_n\rangle = |n, l, m_l, s\rangle \quad (1)$$

or

$$|\Psi_n^i\rangle = |n, l, m_l, s, m_s\rangle \quad (2)$$

These are 2 possible bases for an electron interacting with a proton with a Coulomb interaction. There are small corrections - for example the electron sees a proton moving in a circle - This creates a magnetic field that couples to the electron's magnetic moment. This results in a V of the form

$$V = \frac{e^2}{2m_e} \frac{1}{r^3} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} = V_{LS}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$$

In the absence of this spin orbit interaction eigenstates with different l, m_l, m_s all have the same eigenvalues provided n, l are the same

$$\text{since } \mathbf{J}^2 = (\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S})^2 = \mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{S}^2 + 2\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{J}^2 - \mathbf{L}^2 - \mathbf{S}^2)$$

This means that

$$\langle n, l, m, s | V_{so} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} | n, l, m, s \rangle =$$

$$\langle n, l | V_{so} | n, l \rangle \sum_{m, s} m \times \frac{1}{2} (j(j+1) - l(l+1) - s(s+1))$$

note:

* this choice of basis diagonalizes V

* There is still a $2j+1$ fold degeneracy

state with different l, s
will have their eigenvalues
shifted

* If we use the basis
 $|n l m_l s m_s\rangle$ we would
get a non diagonal
matrix in m_l, m_s .

The problem could still
be done but it would
be necessary to diagonalize
a matrix. Clearly the
matrix that does this

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & m \\ m_l & m_s \end{pmatrix}$$

If the atom is put in
a magnetic field then

the energy is shifted by

$$V = -\vec{\mu}_s \cdot \vec{B} = -\frac{e\hbar}{m_e c} \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B}$$

If we choose coordinates so \vec{B} is in the z direction then

$$V = -\frac{e\hbar}{m_e c} S_z B$$

unlike the spin orbit interaction, this is diagonal in the basis $|n, l, m, s, m_s\rangle$, but not in the basis $|n, l, m, s\rangle$.

When both interactions appear then the choice of basis normally depends on which V is larger, however in both cases the full interaction matrix is not diagonal.

Next we consider the case when $H = H_0 + V(t)$.

where here the perturbation interaction may depend explicitly on time.

This can be an explicitly time dependent interaction or a time independent interaction that is turned on at a given time.

To treat this situation we use the "interaction picture" which is "between" the Schrödinger and Heisenberg pictures

Recall

Schrodinger picture

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O(t) \rangle &= \langle \psi(t) | O | \psi(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(0) | e^{iHt} O e^{-iHt} | \psi(0) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Heisenberg picture

$$O(t) = e^{iHt} O e^{-iHt}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle O(t) \rangle &= \langle \psi | O(t) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | e^{iHt} O e^{-iHt} | \psi \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Both pictures give identical observables. For the interaction picture

$$H = H_0 + V(t)$$

$$\Psi_I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} |\Psi_S(t)\rangle$$

$$O_I(t) = e^{iH_0 t} O(0) e^{-iH_0 t}$$

In this case

$$\langle O(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_I(t) | O_I(t) | \Psi_I(t) \rangle =$$

$$\langle \Psi_S(t) | \underbrace{e^{-iH_0 t}}_I e^{iH_0 t} O(0) \underbrace{e^{-iH_0 t}}_I e^{iH_0 t} | \Psi_S(t) \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi_S(t) | O_S | \Psi_S(t) \rangle = \langle \Psi_H | O_H(t) | \Psi_H \rangle$$

all three pictures give the same probabilities, expectation values and ensemble averages

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi_I(t)\rangle &= iH_0 e^{iH_0 t} |\Psi_S(t)\rangle + e^{iH_0 t} (-iH_1) |\Psi_S(t)\rangle \\ &= e^{iH_0 t} (-iH_1 + iH_0) e^{-iH_0 t} e^{iH_0 t} |\Psi_S(t)\rangle \\ &= -i V_I(t) |\Psi_I(t)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\frac{d|\Psi_I(t)\rangle}{dt} = -i V_I(t) |\Psi_I(t)\rangle$$

with initial condition

$$|\Psi_I(0)\rangle = |\Psi_S(0)\rangle = |\Psi_H\rangle$$

The differential equation and initial condition can be expressed as an integral equation

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = |\Psi_I(0)\rangle - i \int_0^t V_I(t') |\Psi_I(t')\rangle dt'$$

This can be formally solved by iteration -

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Psi_I^{(n)}(t)\rangle$$
$$|\Psi_I^{(0)}(t)\rangle = |\Psi_S(0)\rangle$$

$$|\Psi_I^{(n)}(t)\rangle = |\Psi_I^0(t)\rangle - i \int_0^t V_I(t') |\Psi_I^{(n-1)}(t')\rangle dt'$$

In order to discuss the convergence first consider the second order term

$$|\Psi_I^{(2)}(t)\rangle = |\Psi_I^0(t)\rangle - i \int_0^t V_I(t') |\Psi_I^0(t')\rangle dt' \\ - (-i)^2 \int_0^t V_I(t'') \int_0^{t''} V_I(t') |\Psi_I^0(t')\rangle dt' dt''$$

remarks

(1) since $[H_0, V_I] \neq 0$ in general

$$[V_I(t'), V_I(t'')] \neq 0$$

i.e. the interactions at different times do

not generally commute

(2) $t \geq t'' \geq t'$ has

$$V_I(t'') V_I(t')$$

with $V_I(t')$ to the right of $V_I(t'')$

If we consider $0 \leq t', t'' \leq t$
 there are 2 possible orderings,
 $t' > t''$ and $t'' > t'$

$$\int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' V_I(t') V_I(t'') = \quad (t' > t'')$$

$$\int_0^t dt'' \int_0^{t''} dt' V_I(t'') V_I(t') \quad (t'' > t')$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t dt' \int_0^t dt'' \left(\theta(t'' - t') V_I(t'') V_I(t') + \theta(t' - t'') V_I(t') V_I(t'') \right)$$

In general if there are
 N times $0 \leq t_i \leq t$ there
 are $N!$ possible orderings

$$\tau(V_I(t) \dots V_I(t_0)) =$$

$$\theta(t_1 - t_2) \theta(t_2 - t_3) \dots \theta(t_{N-1} - t_0)$$

$$V_I(t_1) V_I(t_2) \dots V_I(t_0) +$$

similar contributions
 from each ordering

this gives the following expression for $|\Psi_I(t)\rangle$

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = |\Psi_S(t_0)\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots dt_n T(V_I(t_1) \dots V_I(t_n)) |\Psi_S(t_0)\rangle$$

this is called the Dyson expansion. The advantage of writing things this way is that there are not variables in the limits of integration. If V_S is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(V_I(t_1) \dots V_I(t_n))\| &= \\ \|V_I(t_1) \dots V_I(t_n)\| & \leq \|V\|^n \\ \|e^{-iH(t_1-t_0)} V e^{-iH(t_2-t_1)} \dots V e^{-iH(t_n-t_{n-1})}\| & \leq \|V\|^n \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots dt_n T(V_{\pm}(t_1) \dots V_{\pm}(t_n)) |\Psi_S(0)\rangle \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n!} t^n \|V_S\|^n = e^{t \|V_S\|} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

This means that if $|t|, \|V_S\| < \infty$

that the series converges

strongly