

Lecture 13

Feynman Hellmann Theorem

Assume

$$H(\alpha) |\psi(\alpha)\rangle = E(\alpha) |\psi(\alpha)\rangle$$

where α represents parameters that appear in the Hamiltonian.

Then

$$\langle \psi(\alpha) | \frac{\partial H(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} | \psi(\alpha) \rangle =$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} \langle \psi(\alpha) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle$$

If $\langle \psi(\alpha) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle = 1$ then

$$\langle \psi(\alpha) | \frac{\partial H(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} | \psi(\alpha) \rangle = \frac{\partial E(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i}$$

Proof:

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \langle \psi(\alpha) | H(\alpha) - E(\alpha) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle = 0$$

using the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \langle \psi(\alpha) | (H(\alpha) - E(\alpha)) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle}{\partial \alpha_i} +$$

$$\langle \psi(\alpha) | \left(\frac{\partial H(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} - \frac{\partial E(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} \right) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle +$$

$$\left(\langle \frac{\partial \psi(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i} | (H(\alpha) - E(\alpha)) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle \right)^*$$

Thus as long as $H(\alpha) = H(\alpha)$ [†]
and $|\psi(\alpha)\rangle$ is an eigenstate
of $H(\alpha)$ with eigenvalue $E(\alpha)$
the only remaining term
is the middle term

$$\langle \psi(\alpha) | \frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha_i}(\alpha) \langle \psi(\alpha) | \psi(\alpha) \rangle$$

this completes the proof
of the theorem

example

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{ze^2}{r} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{ze^2}{r} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) - \frac{ze^2}{r} \end{aligned}$$

$$E(\alpha) = -\frac{z^2 e^4 m}{2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^2}$$

We can use any of the above forms of the Hamiltonian

(1) compute $\langle \psi(\alpha) | p^2 | \psi(\alpha) \rangle$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial m} = -\frac{p^2}{2m^2} \quad p^2 = -2m^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial m}$$

$$\langle \psi | p^2 | \psi \rangle = -2m^2 \langle \psi | \frac{\partial H}{\partial m} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -2m^2 \frac{\partial E}{\partial m} = (-2m^2) \left(-\frac{z^2 e^4}{2\hbar^2} \right) \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{z^2 m^2 e^4}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

* compute $\langle \psi | V | \psi \rangle$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = -\frac{e^2}{r}$$

$$z \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = -\frac{ze^2}{r} = V$$

$$\langle \psi | V | \psi \rangle = z \langle \psi | \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} | \psi \rangle =$$

$$z \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = -\frac{2ze^4 m}{2\hbar^2 (n+l+m)^2}$$

* compute $\langle \psi | \frac{1}{r^2} | \psi \rangle$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial l} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(-\frac{2l+1}{r^2} \right)$$

$$\langle \psi | \frac{1}{r^2} | \psi \rangle = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(2l+1)} \langle \psi | \frac{\partial H}{\partial l} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(2l+1)} \left(-\frac{z^2 e^4 m}{2\hbar^2} \right) (-2) \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^3}$$

$$\frac{2m^2 z^2 e^4}{\hbar^4} \frac{1}{(2l+1)} \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^3}$$

Another similar theorem is called the Virial theorem

This theorem related to expectation value of the kinetic and potential

energy in eigenstates of the Hamiltonian for potentials of the form

$$V(r) = \alpha r^n$$

consider

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \alpha r^n$$

$$[\bar{p} \cdot \bar{r}, H] =$$

$$[\bar{p}, H] \cdot \bar{r} + \bar{p} \cdot [\bar{r}, H]$$

for the Hamiltonian

above

$$[\bar{p}, H] = -i\alpha\hbar \bar{\nabla}(r^n)$$

$$[\mathbf{r}, H] = \frac{1}{2m} [\mathbf{r}, p^2] = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} 2\mathbf{p}$$

putting these together
gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}, H] &= -i\alpha\hbar \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla(r^n) + \frac{2i\hbar}{2m} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ &= i\hbar \left(-n\alpha r^{n-1} + \frac{p^2}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

evaluating this commutator
in eigenstate of H gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (E - E) \langle \psi | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} | \psi \rangle = \\ &= i\hbar \left(-n\alpha r^{n-1} + \frac{p^2}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

canceling the $i\hbar$ and
using

$$V = \alpha r^n ; T = KE = \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

this becomes

$$0 = -n \langle \psi | V | \psi \rangle + 2 \langle \psi | T | \psi \rangle$$

$$2 \langle \psi | T | \psi \rangle = n \langle \psi | V | \psi \rangle$$

This can be combined
with energy conservation.
 $\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = E = \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle$
 $2 \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle = n \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle$

This can be used to express
the expectation value of
 T or V in terms of the
energy eigenvalue E

$$E = \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle + \frac{2}{n} \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle = \left(\frac{n}{n+2}\right) E$$

$$E = \frac{n}{2} \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle$$
$$= \left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right) \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle = \frac{2}{n+2} E$$

For $n = -1$ (one electron atom)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle &= 2E = -2 \langle \Psi | T | \Psi \rangle \\ &= -\frac{Z^2 e^4 m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(n+l+1)^2}\end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the result of using the Hellmann Feynman theorem.

The advantage of both of these theorems is that the results do not require performing an integration - they give useful information on the energy is distributed in terms of potential and kinetic terms

Rayleigh Schrodinger perturbation theory

Assume

$$H = H_0 + V$$

where H_0 is simple to solve and V is "small".

Rayleigh Schrodinger perturbation theory constructs solutions to the eigenvalue problem

$$H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle$$

starting with solutions of

$$H_0|\Psi^0\rangle = E^0|\Psi^0\rangle$$

and constructing correction as powers of the small interaction V .

In order to keep track of powers of V we replace H by

$$H(\lambda) = H_0 + \lambda V$$

(eventually we will let $\lambda \rightarrow 1$)

the starting approximation is the unperturbed solution

$$H_0 |\Psi_n^0\rangle = E_n^0 |\Psi_n^0\rangle$$

where we can choose the $|\Psi_n^0\rangle$ for different n to be orthogonal. Next we assume the solutions to

$$H |\Psi_n\rangle = E_n |\Psi_n\rangle$$

can be represented as a series in powers of V

$$|\Psi_n\rangle = |\Psi_n^0\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^m |\Psi_n^m\rangle$$

$$E_n = E_n^0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^m E_n^m$$

Substituting these expansions in the Schrodinger equation gives

$$H_0 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m |\Psi_n^m\rangle + V \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{m+1} |\Psi_n^m\rangle = \sum_{R=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{R+r} |\Psi_n^R\rangle E_n^r$$

In the second term let $m' = m+1$ and in the third term let $m = R+r$

$$H_0 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m |\Psi_n^m\rangle + \sum_{m'=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{m'} V |\Psi_n^{m'-1}\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda^m |\Psi_n^k\rangle E_n^{m-k}$$

equating equal powers of λ gives the following relations

$$H_0 |\psi_n^0\rangle = E_n^0 |\psi_n^0\rangle \quad m=0$$

$$H_0 |\psi_n^3\rangle + V |\psi_n^{3-1}\rangle = \quad m>0$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^3 |\psi_n^k\rangle E_n^{m-k}$$

The $m=0$ equation is satisfied by assumption. It is useful to write the second equation in the form

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |\psi_n^m\rangle - |\psi_n^0\rangle E_n^m = -V |\psi_n^{m-1}\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |\psi_n^k\rangle E_n^{m-k}$$

all of the terms on the right side of this equation involve $|\psi_n^k\rangle E_n^k$ for $k < m$.

observations

(1) If $|\Psi_n^m\rangle$ is a solution to the equation on the last page, so is

$$|\Psi_n^m\rangle + \eta |\Psi_n^0\rangle$$

where η is an arbitrary constant

* This ambiguity can be avoided by requiring

$$\langle \Psi_n^m | \Psi_n^0 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{all } m > 0$$

(2) With this assumption

$$|\Psi_n\rangle = |\Psi_n^0\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^m |\Psi_n^m\rangle$$

both $|\Psi_n\rangle$ and $|\Psi_n^0\rangle$ cannot both be normalized to 1 unless

$$\| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^m |\Psi_n^m\rangle \|^2 = 0$$

We choose normalization s.

$$\langle \Psi_n^0 | \Psi_n^m \rangle = \delta_{nm}$$

This means that once we find $|\psi\rangle$ it must be re-normalized:

$$|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi\rangle / \langle \psi | \psi \rangle^{1/2}$$

given $\langle \psi_n^0 | \psi_m^0 \rangle = \delta_{nm}$ $\langle \psi_n^0 | \psi_n^k \rangle = 0$
 $k > 0$

We can solve the equation:

for $|\psi_n^m\rangle, E_n^m$

We start by considering the

case $m=1$

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |\psi_n^1\rangle - E_n^1 |\psi_n^0\rangle = -V |\psi_n^0\rangle$$

To find E_n^1 multiply by

$\langle \psi_n^0 |$

$$\langle \psi_n^0 | (H_0 - E_n^0) |\psi_n^1\rangle - E_n^1 \langle \psi_n^0 | \psi_n^0 \rangle = -\langle \psi_n^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle$$

This gives

$$E_n' = \langle \psi_n^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle$$

$$E = E_n^0 + \langle \psi_n^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle + \dots$$

(to get this we used $\langle \psi_n^0 | \psi_n^0 \rangle = 1$)

next multiply both sides
by $\langle \psi_m^0 |$ $m \neq n$

$$(E_m^0 - E_n^0) \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^0 \rangle - \underbrace{\langle \psi_m^0 | E_n' | \psi_n^0 \rangle}_{m \neq n}$$

$$= - \langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^0 \rangle &= \frac{- \langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle}{E_m^0 - E_n^0} \\ &= \frac{\langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^0 \rangle = 0 \quad n \neq m \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n^1\rangle &= \sum_m |\psi_m^0\rangle \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m \neq n} |\psi_m^0\rangle \frac{\langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^0 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} \end{aligned}$$

Now we know E_n^1 $|\Psi_n^1\rangle$. We use this information to calculate E_n^2 and $|\Psi_n^2\rangle$. The starting point is

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |\Psi_n^2\rangle - E_n^2 |\Psi_n^0\rangle = -V |\Psi_n^1\rangle + |\Psi_n^1\rangle E_n^1$$

as in the $m=1$ case

first multiply by $\langle \Psi_n^0 | - \langle \Psi_n^1 | H_0 - E_n^1 | \Psi_n^1 \rangle = 0 \implies$

$$-E_n^2 = -\langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_n^1 \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \Psi_n^1 | \Psi_n^1 \rangle}_{=0} E_n^1$$

$$E_n^2 = \langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_n^1 \rangle = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_m^0 \rangle \langle \Psi_m^0 | V | \Psi_n^0 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|\langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_m^0 \rangle|^2}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}$$

note that if E_n^0 is the ground state the first order correction is negative (consistent with variational method)

We can also compute the second order wave function

using $\langle \psi_m^0 | \quad m \neq n$

$$\langle \psi_m^0 | (H_0 - E_n^0) | \psi_n^2 \rangle - E_n^1 \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^1 \rangle$$

$$- \langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^1 \rangle + E_n^1 \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^1 \rangle =$$

$$(E_m^0 - E_n^0) \langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^2 \rangle =$$

$$- \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_k^1 \rangle \langle \psi_k^1 | V | \psi_n^1 \rangle}{(E_n^0 - E_k^0)}$$

$$- \langle \psi_n^0 | V | \psi_n^1 \rangle \frac{\langle \psi_m^0 | V | \psi_n^1 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}$$

dividing by the $(E_n^0 - E_m^0)$

give an expression for $\langle \psi_m^0 | \psi_n^2 \rangle$

$$\langle \Psi_m^0 | \Psi_n^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{(E_n^0 - E_m^0)} \left\{ \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\langle \Psi_m^0 | V | \Psi_k^0 \rangle \langle \Psi_k^0 | V | \Psi_n^0 \rangle}{(E_n - E_m)(E_n - E_k)} - \frac{\langle \Psi_m^0 | V | \Psi_n^0 \rangle \langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_m^0 \rangle}{(E_n - E_m)^2} \right\}$$

this can be used to

expand

$$|\Psi_n^2\rangle = \sum_{m \neq n} |\Psi_m^0\rangle \underbrace{\langle \Psi_m^0 | \Psi_n^2 \rangle}_{\text{above}}$$

while it is possible to continue to any order, normally the first order energy is enough.

If $\langle \Psi_n^0 | V | \Psi_n^0 \rangle = 0$ then the second order energy should be good approximation assuming V is small.